

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/675,355	PULLEY, GREGGORY	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Andre R. Fowlkes	2192	

**All Participants:**

(1) Andre R. Fowlkes.

(2) John C. Moran #30,782.

**Status of Application: Restriction**

(3) Tuan Ngo #44,259.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 March 2007

**Time:** 1:00PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

- The examiner contacted John C. Moran, the attorney who submitted the most recent status request for this application to obtain a telephone election. Mr. Moran said that Les Gehman (#45,624) is responsible for the case and could be contacted at 970-898-3642.
- The examiner left a message with Mr. Gehman, describing the restriction requirement, but has not heard a response from the office of Mr. Gehman.
- The examiner then called several of the attorneys of record to solicit an election. The examiner eventually reached Mr. Ngo who directed the examiner to Lori Griebenow, (#33,704).
- The examiner left a message with Ms. Griebenow, describing the restriction requirement but has not heard back from the office of Ms. Griebenow.